

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE JAB 1425 CON1 7134 Bart De Corte 08/05/2003 10/634,682 EXAMINER 02/01/2005 7590 27777 BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA 1624 NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/634,682	DE CORTE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard processed by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day idd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 2 November 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to by the other drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Someotion is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Book * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the application from the second content of the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the attached det	ments have been received. ments have been received in Applic priority documents have been rece ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	ary (PTO-413) I Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response filed on 11/22/2004 is made of record.

Claims 1-22 are pending.

In view of applicants' response, the following applies.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

 Claim 6 is confusing and unclear as it recites dextran and then in parenthesis (dextran sulfate). Note both these terms are not synonymous and hence represent different compound.

This rejection is same as made in the previous office action. Applicants' argument to overcome this rejection is not persuasive.

The issue is not whether one could use parenthetic expression. As clearly noted in the rejection, dextran and dextran sulfate is not one and the same product. They are distinct from each other. Applicants have not provided evidence that use either of the term would amount to the same meaning.

This rejection is proper and is maintained.

Art Unit: 1624

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 21 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8, 10,19, 20, 21 of copending Application No. 09430,966. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method of use for compound of claim 1 or 2 embraced in the instant claim 21 is also embraced in the

Art Unit: 1624

method of use of the genus of compounds, which includes the instant compound, in the copending application 09/430,966.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Note the application 09/430,966 has an earlier filing date. Hence instant claim 21 would not be allowable without a Terminal Disclaimer or showing that the subject matter embraced in the instant claim does not overlap or not obvious over the claims of the copending application.

Claims 1-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 and 10-135 of copending Application No. 10/275,931. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compound, process of making, composition and the method of use for compound of claim 1 or 2 embraced in the instant claims overlap with the compound, process of making, composition and the method of use of the genus of compounds, which includes the instant compound, in the copending application 10/275,931.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Note the copending application has an earlier filing date. Hence claims 1-22 would not be allowable without a Terminal Disclaimer or showing that the subject matter embraced in the instant claims do not overlap or not obvious over the claims of the copending application.

Art Unit: 1624

Hence, this rejection is proper and is maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571) 272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-0674. If Applicants are unable to reach Mukund Shah within 24-hour period, they may contact James O. Wilson, Acting-SPE of art unit 1624 at 571-272-0661.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of

Art Unit: 1624

this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Ve. kalaraman balasubraman Venkataraman Balasubramanian

1/24/2005